CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Progress Report -- Team Member to Project Manager

Current Task Summary

Task or Deliverable	Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?

Accomplished this week

Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks

Status Summary	Yes/No	Explanation
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?		
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?		
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned tasks?		
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?		

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

Status Report – Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report   Note: Answers reflect the baseline in the last approved SPR.

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	Yes			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	Yes	Development of network plan	None	Reschedule development of network plan when we can
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No	Although start date not delayed, we will extend end date of hiring new staff	
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Current Status and Accomplishments:
*Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during **this reporting period.***
The SOS received legislative approval of Special Project Report on August 21, 2009. The Spending Plan was approved by the Legislature on August 25, 2009. The SOS completed its kick-off presentations to county registrars of voters. The SOS completed the Communication Plan.

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Project initiation	10/1/09	9/14/09	Waiting for DGS contract execution		

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
OneTime Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Project initiation	10/1/09	9/14/09	Waiting for DGS contract execution		

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* *Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis*

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046
Department: Secretary of State
Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	**1**
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	**0**
	Medium Viability	1	
	Weak Viability	2	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	**0**
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	**0**
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	**0**
	4 to 6	1	
	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	**0**
	Late with no impact	1	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	**0**
	Partially engaged	1	
	Inadequate enagement	2	
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	**0**
	Partial alignment	1	
	Weak or no alignment	2	
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	**0**
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	

CA-PMM

Project Name: Secretary of State VoteCal Project

OCIO Project #: 89046

Department: Secretary of State

Revision Date: 8/31/09

Status Report

10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard)	Strong	0	**1**
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	0
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	0
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	0
	80-90% assigned and available	1	
	<80% assigned and available	2	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	0
	15-25%	1	
	>25%	2	
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	0
	Moderately Effective	1	
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	**2**

Green = 0 - 8
Yellow = 9 - 19
Red = 20+

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

The vendor has not worked in California however its subcontractors are well known in California for election knowledge. One subcontractor, DFM, works with 31 counties that represent over 50 percent of the registered voters in the state. Rather than hiring BearingPoint as the Project Manager, Catalyst hired qualified (and proposed) staff from BearingPoint to manage the project.